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Grip strength is touted as an objective, easily measured indicator of health in aging populations. A successfully aging population has both a good overall health and a fair distribution of health (health equity). In this study, presented by Dr. Yukiko Asada, the research team investigates the usefulness of grip strength in the assessment of health equity among older adults.

Using baseline data from the CLSA comprehensive sample of adults aged 45-85 years (N=26,562), the team quantifies the magnitude of inequality in grip strength among older adults using the Gini coefficient, and examines what factors explain inequality in grip strength using sex-stratified regression and regression-based inequality decomposition. The team emphasizes the importance of clarifying what grip strength is measuring and which factors associated with grip strength should be considered unfair.
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Successful aging and health inequalities and inequities

• Successful aging: a common policy goal
  • Health: a key component
  • Individual and population levels

• Successful aging of a population
  • Good overall level of health
  • Fair distribution of health

• A key indicator of successful aging of a population
  • How health inequalities (differences) and inequities (unfair differences) in the population increase or decrease over the life course
Measuring health inequalities and inequities

• Complex
  • Defining health inequity and incorporating the definition in the measurement
  • Deciding what measure of health to use
  • Different choices can lead to different results

• Definition of health inequity for analyses presented today
  • Policy amenability: differences in health due to factors amenable to policy are unfair

• Measure of health for analyses presented today
  • Grip strength
Grip strength

• An estimate of isometric strength in the upper extremity, indicating overall muscle strength
• Simple, physical measurement
• An indicator of frailty or vulnerability (Syddall 2003)
  • Strong associations with known “aging” markers
• An indicator of well-being (Rijk 2016)
  • Grip strength indicates muscle strength needed to perform basic activity of daily living, which, in turn, influences well-being
• Predictive of future disability, morbidity, and mortality (Sayer 2015)
Meta-analysis based on 14 data points, adjusted for age, sex, and body size

Grip strength (n=14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lowest</td>
<td>1.67 (1.45 to 1.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.28 (1.16 to 1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.15 (1.07 to 1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary hazard ratio (95% CI)

Source: Cooper (2010)
This talk

• Intension: Measuring inequalities and inequities in grip strength among older adults using the CLSA

• This talk is exploratory, work-in-progress:
  • Presenting noteworthy aspects of grip strength (quite different from general health status measures)
  • Examining whether grip strength is a good measure of health to use in the assessment of health inequalities and inequities and why and why not
Data

• Canadian Longitudinal Study on Aging (CLSA), Comprehensive
  • Sample: about 30,000 non-institutionalized persons aged 45-85 at the time of recruitment
  • Data collection: In-home interviews and respondent visits to 11 data collection sites across Canada
  • For our analysis: baseline data, N = 27,182
Variables

• Grip strength
  • Measured in kilograms
  • The maximum value of three measurements of the dominant hand, using the Tracker Freedom Wireless Grip Dynamometer

• Other variables
  • Age, sex, height
  • Ethnicity and Aboriginal status, sexual orientation, rurality, province of residence
  • Marital status, social participation
  • Household income, education, home ownership
  • Alcohol use, physical activity, waist circumference
Distribution of grip strength (both sexes)
Distribution of grip strength (both sexes)

Gini coefficient: 0.188
Mean: 36.83 kg

Average expected difference in grip strength between two randomly selected persons in the population (Gini x 2 x mean): 13.88 kg
Considering inequality and inequity in grip strength

• Inequality = overall distribution of grip strength

• Inequity
  • Policy amenability: differences due to factors amenable to policy are unfair
  • Are there any factors associated with grip strength that we cannot do anything about through policy?
  • Suspects: age, sex, and height – known to be strongly associated with grip strength
% of variation in grip strength explained

Based on regression-based inequality decomposition analysis

Regression model includes: age, sex, height, ethnicity and Indigenous status, sexual orientation, rurality, province of residence, marital status, social participation, household income, education, home ownership, alcohol use, physical activity, waist circumference
Distribution of grip strength (both sexes)
Distribution of grip strength by sex
Grip strength by height
Grip strength by height and sex

Graphs by sex
Grip strength by age
Grip strength by age and sex
Revisiting prognostic values of grip strength

- Studies vary in adjustment when estimating prognostic values of grip strength
- A loose consensus for adjustment: age, sex, and body size

Meta-analysis based on 14 data points, adjusted for age, sex, and body size

Source: Cooper (2010)
What is the unfair distribution of grip strength?

• Inequity
  • Policy amenability: differences due to factors amenable to policy are unfair
  • If we assume differences in grip strength due to age, sex, and height are not something we can address by policy
  • Deviations from the “norm” set by age, sex, and height are unfair
Analyzing deviations from the norm

• Standardized distributions
  • Z-scores (the norm is age dependent)
  • T-scores (the norm is based on the age of the ”peak performance”)

• Examples
  • Child malnutrition (e.g., height-for-age, weight-for-age)
  • Bone density
  • Cognition (see Dr. Holly Tuokko’s CLSA Webinar on January 16, 2018)

• Z-scores and T-scores for grip strength
  • Emerging interests (e.g., Cheug 2013, Bahannon & Magasi 2015)
  • Canadian reference values from 2007-2013 Canadian Health Measures Survey (Wong 2016)
  • No agreed-upon cut-off to indicate a “deficiency”
Calculating z-scores for grip strength using CLSA

• For each observation, $i$, male and female separately:

$$g_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot a_i + \beta_2 \cdot a_i^2 + \beta_3 \cdot h_i + \varepsilon_i$$

$$\hat{g}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \cdot a_i + \hat{\beta}_2 \cdot a_i^2 + \hat{\beta}_3 \cdot h_i$$

$$z_i = \frac{g_i - \hat{g}_i}{SD\hat{g}_i}$$

Where $g$ is grip strength; $a$ is age; $h$ is height; and $SD$ is standard deviation
Observed and “norm” z-score distributions

male

female

Density

z-score (obs)

z-score (norm)
What factors are associated with z-scores?

• Separately for male and female, ran regression analysis with grip strength z-score as the dependent variable

• Independent variables in the model:
  • Ethnicity and Aboriginal status, sexual orientation, rurality, province of residence
  • Marital status, social participation
  • Household income, education, home ownership
  • Alcohol use, physical activity, waist circumference

• Model specification: Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)

• Sample weighted and variance estimation accounting for the complex survey design
Associations with social factors

male   female   solid: p < 0.01

- Rural vs. Urban
- Aboriginal vs. White
- Non-white, non-Aboriginal vs. Heterosexual
- homosexual/bisexual vs. Married
- Widowed/divorced/separated vs. Low social participation
- Single/never married vs. Low social participation
- High social participation vs. Low social participation
Associations with socioeconomic factors

male  female  solid: p < 0.01

High school graduate
Some post-secondary
College/university certificate
Bachelor's degree
> Bachelor's degree
$20,000-49,999
$50,000-99,999
$100,000-149,999
$150,000-

vs. < high school graduation
vs. <= $20,000
Associations with health behaviours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>male</th>
<th>female</th>
<th>solid: p &lt; 0.01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Former drinker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasional drinker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular drinker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular heavy drinker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased risk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially increased risk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

vs. no drinker

vs. low risk

Change in SD

-0.6  -0.3  0   0.3   0.6
Key questions when considering grip strength for health inequity analysis
Key question 1

• What should the fair distribution of grip strength look like?
  • Is weaker grip strength associated with being older, female, and shorter something we cannot do anything about through policy?
What if the norm is set by sex and height only?

![Graph showing normal distributions for male and female, with z-score (obs) and z-score (norm) marked.]
Norm set by sex and height

Norm set by age, sex, and height

For males and females, the diagrams show the distribution of z-scores, with blue representing observed z-scores and red representing normalized z-scores.

- **Z-score (obs)**
- **Z-score (norm)**
Grip strength by sex and country

Source: WHO (2015)

Data sources: SAGE and SAHRE
Key question 2

• To what extent should we expect that inequity in grip strength is associated with social disadvantage?

• More concretely, how should we think about:
  • No clear, less-than-expected, or counter-intuitive socioeconomic gradient in the deviation from the norm
  • Regular heavy drinking associated with grip strength better than the norm

• Further issues to consider:
  • Puzzling associations we observed may be spurious due to limitations of our simple models
  • Should a good measure of health for health equity analysis to assess successful aging of a population be sensitive to social disadvantage and unhealthy behavior?
Summary

• Grip strength is touted an objective, simple measure of health in aging population

• A successfully aging population has both a good overall level of health and a fair distribution of health

• Assessing inequality and inequity in grip strength appears promising, but the use of grip strength requires caution

• It is important to consider what the fair distribution of grip strength should look like, but the answer is not straightforward

• Persons with social disadvantage and unhealthy behavior may have stronger grip strength than expected, casting a doubt on its use for health equity analysis as a barometer of successful population aging
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